1	CURTIS J. BUSBY Nevada Bar No. 6581									
2	BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP									
3	2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012									
4	(602) 643-2300 (Telephone) (602) 248-0947 (Facsimile)									
5	curtis.busby@bowmanandbrooke.com									
6	ROBERT A. NERSESIAN Nevada Bar No. 2762									
7	NERSESIAN & SANKIEWICZ 528 S. Eighth Street									
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 385-5454 (Telephone)									
9	vegaslegal@aol.com									
10	Attorneys for Defendant Caterpillar Inc.									
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT									
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA									
13	ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,	Case No.								
14	Plaintiff,									
15	v.	DEFENDANT CATERPILLAR INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL								
16	RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN,	COURT COURT								
17	individually; CATERPILLAR INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20, inclusive,									
18	Defendants.									
19										
20	Defendant Caterpillar Inc. ("Caterpilla	ar"), hereby submits this Notice of Removal								
21	pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332	2, 1441, and 1446. The grounds for removal are								
22	as follows:									
23	BACKGROUND									
24	1. Plaintiff filed this action on September 16, 2020, in the District Court of Clark									
25	County, Nevada, styled Arla Bree Smith, indi	vidually, Plaintiff, v. Richard Daniel Whelden,								
26	individually, Caterpillar Inc., Doe Individuals	s 1-20, inclusive, and Roe Corporations 1-20,								
27	inclusive, Defendants, Case No. A-20-821308-C. See Summons and Complaint, attached hereto									
28	as Exhibit A.									

1	2.	The Summons and Complaint were served on Caterpillar on October 6, 2020.
2	3.	Caterpillar has not answered Plaintiff's Complaint.
3	4.	Defendant Whelden was served with the Summons and Complaint on October
4	10, 2020.	
5	5.	The Summons and Complaint against Caterpillar is attached as Exhibit A.
6	6.	The Summons and Complaint against Defendant, Wheldon, is attached as Exhibit
7	В.	
8	7.	Exhibits A and B constitute all process, pleadings, and orders served upon such
9	defendants.	
10	8.	Defendant Whelden has not answered Plaintiff's Complaint.
11	9.	Defendant Whelden consents to removal.
12	10.	There are no other defendants. Removal is therefore proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C
13	§ 1446.	
14		COMPLETE DIVERSITY
15	11.	Plaintiff is a resident of Clark County, Nevada. Ex. A ¶ 1.
16	12.	Plaintiff admits Caterpillar is a foreign corporation. Ex. A ¶ 3.
17	13.	Whelden is a resident of the State of Arizona. Ex. A ¶ 2.
18	14.	There are no other defendants.
19	15.	Complete diversity exists among the parties for this Court to exercise diversity
20	subject matt	er jurisdiction over this matter. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1).
21		AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY
22	16.	This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28
23	U.S.C. § 13	32(a) because the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00
24	exclusive of	interest and costs.
25	17.	Whether the amount in controversy requirement is satisfied is determined by
26	reference to	the economic benefit plaintiff is trying to protect. See Buckeye Recyclers v. CHEF
27	<i>USA</i> , 228 F.	Supp. 2d 818 (S.D. Ohio 2002).
28	18.	Here, plaintiff allegedly sustained injuries to "her head, neck, back, bodily limbs

- organs, and systems [from the incident,] all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature. . . . "Ex. A ¶ 12. Upon information and belief, plaintiff also sustained injury to her lumbar spine. Additionally, plaintiff alleges that her care and treatment are "continuing and shall continue into the future at a presently unascertainable amount," Ex. A ¶ 13, which Caterpillar is informed and believes will be provided, at least in part, by an orthopedic surgeon with whom plaintiff is currently treating. Thus, plaintiff seeks her past and future medical expenses allegedly incurred as a result of the incident. Ex. A ¶ 12–13, Prayer for Relief.
- 19. Upon information and belief, plaintiff has not yet gathered all medical bills regarding her past medical treatment. Moreover, plaintiff has not quantified the future medical expenses that she will allegedly incur. See Ex. A ¶ 14. It follows that, based upon plaintiff's alleged medical damages alone, the amount in controversy in this matter is substantially higher than \$75,000 because the claim is based upon incomplete medical billing records and plaintiff seeks "unascertainable" future medical expenses in this lawsuit.
- 20. In addition to past and future medical expenses, plaintiff also seeks to recover for general damages, lost wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred. Ex. A at 6, ¶ 4.
- 21. Thus, it is facially apparent from the Complaint that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.

TIMELY FILED

- 22. This action first became removable on October 6, 2020, when Caterpillar was served a copy of Plaintiff's Complaint. Because this Notice of Removal is filed within thirty (30) days after Caterpillar's receipt of plaintiff's Complaint, this Notice of Removal is timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 23. Because this Notice of Removal is filed within one-year of September 16, 2020, the date of the commencement of this action, this Notice of Removal is timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

REMOVAL JURISDICTION

24. This Court has removal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446.

3 23335981

2 3

1

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

1	25.	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), venue of the removed action is proper in this Court
2	as the distric	t and court embracing the District Court of Clark County, Nevada, the place where
3	the state action	on is pending.
4	26.	In filing this Notice of Removal, Caterpillar does not waive any defenses
5	available to	it in this action or its right to challenge this Court's personal jurisdiction.
6	27.	Caterpillar demands a trial by jury.
7	WHE	EREFORE, upon the filing hereof, this action is removed from the District Court of
8	Clark County	y, Nevada to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.
9	DAT	ED this 26th day of October, 2020.
10		BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP
1		
12		By:/s/Curtis J. Busby
		Curtis J. Busby
13		Nevada Bar No. 6581
4		Suite 1600, Phoenix Plaza
		2901 North Central Avenue
15		Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2736
16		In conjunction with:
17		ROBERT A. NERSESIAN
18		Nevada Bar No. 2762
19		NERSESIAN & SANKIEWICZ
		528 S. Eighth Street
20		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
21		Attorneys for Defendant Caterpillar, Inc.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on 26th day of October, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing:
3	DEFENDANT CATERPILLAR INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL
4	COURT with the clerk of this Court, using the CM/ECF System.
5	Garnet E. Beal, Esq.
6	Nevada Bar No.: 12693 DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW
7	6671 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89119
8	O: (702) 800-6000
9	F: (702) 224-2114 gb@stevedimopoulos.com
10	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	/s/Jeannette Felix
13	An employee of Bowman and Brooke LLP
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT A

SUMM

District Court

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: A-20-821308-C

DEPT NO.: 23

VS.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN, individually; CATERPILLAR, INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20, inclusive,

Defendants.

SUMMONS TO CATERPILLAR, INC.

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

CATERPILLAR, INC.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
 - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
 - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint

Submitted by:

/s/ Garnet E. Beal

GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 12693
DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW
6830 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89118
O: (702) 800-6000
Attorneys for Plaintiff

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF COURT

10/5/2020

Date

DEPUTY CLERK
Regional Justice Center

200 Lewis Avenue

Las Vegas, NV 89155 Robyn Rodriguez

110by11110diliguez

Case Number: A-20-821308-C

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17 19 **COMP** GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12693 DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW 6671 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89119 0: (702) 800-6000 F: (702) 224-2114 gb@stevedimopoulos.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed 9/16/2020 2:37 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COUR**

CASE NO: A-20-821308-0 Department 23

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN, individually; CATERPILLAR, INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20. inclusive: and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20. inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO .: DEPT NO.:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Arla Bree Smith, by and through her counsel, GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. of the DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW, and for her Complaint against the Defendants, and each of them alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Arla Bree Smith ("Plaintiff") is and was a 1. resident of Clark County, State of Nevada.
- 2. Upon information and belief at all times relevant herein Defendant Richard Daniel Whelden ("Defendant") is and was a resident of the State of Arizona.
- 3. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant CATERPILLAR, INC. ("CATERPILLAR") is and was at all times relevant herein, a foreign corporation authorized to conduct business and doing business in the State of Nevada.

Page 1 of 6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IMOPOUL	INJURY LAW
DIN	=

4. At all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant was an authorized agent and/or employee of CATERPILLAR acting within the course and scope of his agency or employment.

- 5. The true names and capacities of Defendants named herein as DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants so designated herein is responsible in some manner for the events and occurrences referred to herein alleged, and Plaintiff will request leave of Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, when the same have been ascertained and to join such defendants in this action.
- 6. On or about March 6, 2020, Defendant was the operator of a Chevrolet truck and was in the course and scope of his employment with CATERPILLAR.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, CATERPILLAR is and was the owner of the vehicle operated by Defendant. Defendant operated said vehicle with the full knowledge, permission and consent of CATERPILLAR.
- 8. On or about March 6, 2020, Plaintiff was operating her 2013 Honda Fit bearing Nevada license plate PF7184 southbound on Las Vegas Boulevard in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.
- 9. As Plaintiff's vehicle stopped, Defendant, who was traveling immediately behind Plaintiff, failed to stop for traffic, failed to use due care and collided with the rear of Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing injuries and damages to Plaintiff.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE-DEFENDANT WHELDEN)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 9, as though fully set forth herein.

10. On or about March 6, 2020, the Defendant had a duty to operate his vehicle in a careful and prudent manner.

- 11. Defendant breached this duty when he operated his vehicle in a negligent, careless and reckless manner when he failed to pay full attention to driving, failed to use due care and rear-ended Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff.
- 12. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 13. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 14. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she was otherwise suited.
- 15. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has been required to and did lose time from her employment, continues to and shall continue to be limited in each of her activities and occupations which have caused and shall continue to cause Plaintiff's loss of earnings and earning capacity to Plaintiff's damage in a presently unascertainable amount. In this regard, Plaintiff asks leave of this Court to insert said amount when the same shall be fully ascertained.
- 16. Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and, therefore, entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

28 | .

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE PER SE-DEFENDANT WHELDEN)

Plaintiffs repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16, as fully set forth herein.

- 17. Defendant had a duty to operate his vehicle in accordance with the traffic laws of the State of Nevada.
- 18. Defendant violated the laws of the State of Nevada by operating his vehicle in a negligent, careless and reckless manner, by failing to pay full attention to driving and thus, rearended Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff. Thus, Defendant is negligent per se.
- 19. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 20. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 21. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she was otherwise suited.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE ENTRUSTMENT-DEFENDANT CATERPILLAR)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21, as fully set forth herein.

22. CATERPILLAR entrusted said vehicle to Defendant when they allowed him to drive said vehicle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

23. CATERPILLAR knew or should have known that the Defendant lacked the skill and necessary training in operating a motor vehicle entrusted to her. As such, CATERPILLAR knew or should have known of the significant hazards arising from the operating of said motor vehicle on public streets.

- 24. CATERPILLAR knew or should have known that the entrustment of said vehicle to Defendant would inflict damages to person and property using public streets, including Plaintiff.
- 25. CATERPILLAR had a duty to only trust said vehicle to qualified and competent drivers.
 - 26. CATERPILLAR breached this duty when they entrusted the vehicle to Defendant.
- 27. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 28. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 29. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she was otherwise suited.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR-DEFENDANT CATERPILLAR)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29, as if fully set forth herein.

- 30. CATERPILLAR entrusted said truck to Defendant in order to generate income and profits.
 - 31. Defendant is and was an employee of CATERPILLAR and under the supervision and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.11

12

13

14

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

control of CATERPILLAR at the time of the accident described herein.

- 32. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was acting within the scope and course of his employment with CATERPILLAR at the time of the accident described herein.
- 33. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 34. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 35. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she were otherwise suited.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Arla Smith prays for judgment on all claims for relief as follows:

- General damages in excess of \$15,000.00. 1.
- 2. Special damages in excess of \$15,000.00.
- 3. Lost wages in an amount yet to be determined.
- 4. Costs of suit incurred including reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 5. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED THIS 16th day of September, 2020.

DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW

/s/ Garnet E. Beal

GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12693 6830 South Las Vegas Boulevard, #275 Las Vegas, NV 89119

EXHIBIT B

SUMM

District Court

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: A-20-821308-C

DEPT NO.: 23

VS.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN, individually; CATERPILLAR, INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20, inclusive,

Defendants.

SUMMONS TO RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
 - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
 - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint

Submitted by:

/s/ Garnet E. Beal

GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12693 DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW 6830 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89118 O: (702) 800-6000 Attorneys for Plaintiff STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

10/5/2020

Date

Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue

Las Vegas, NV 89155

Robyn Rodriguez

Case Number: A-20-821308-C

COMP GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12693 DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW 6671 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89119 0: (702) 800-6000 F: (702) 224-2114 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Electronically Filed 9/16/2020 2:37 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR!

CASE NO: A-20-821308-C Department 23

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: **DEPT NO.:**

VS.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN, individually; CATERPILLAR, INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20, inclusive,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Arla Bree Smith, by and through her counsel, GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. of the DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW, and for her Complaint against the Defendants, and each of them alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Arla Bree Smith ("Plaintiff") is and was a resident of Clark County, State of Nevada.
- Upon information and belief at all times relevant herein Defendant Richard Daniel 2. Whelden ("Defendant") is and was a resident of the State of Arizona.
- Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant 3. CATERPILLAR, INC. ("CATERPILLAR") is and was at all times relevant herein, a foreign corporation authorized to conduct business and doing business in the State of Nevada.

Page 1 of 6

Case Number: A-20-821308-C

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

٦.	At all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant was an authorized agen
and/or emp	ployee of CATERPILLAR acting within the course and scope of his agency of
employment 5.	t. The true names and capacities of Defendants named herein as DOES I through X

all times relevant to those proceedings. Defendant we

and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants so designated herein is responsible in some manner for the events and occurrences referred to herein alleged, and Plaintiff will request leave of Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, when the same have been ascertained and to join such defendants in this action.

- 6. On or about March 6, 2020, Defendant was the operator of a Chevrolet truck and was in the course and scope of his employment with CATERPILLAR.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, CATERPILLAR is and was the owner of the vehicle operated by Defendant. Defendant operated said vehicle with the full knowledge, permission and consent of CATERPILLAR.
- 8. On or about March 6, 2020, Plaintiff was operating her 2013 Honda Fit bearing Nevada license plate PF7184 southbound on Las Vegas Boulevard in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.
- 9. As Plaintiff's vehicle stopped, Defendant, who was traveling immediately behind Plaintiff, failed to stop for traffic, failed to use due care and collided with the rear of Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing injuries and damages to Plaintiff.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE-DEFENDANT WHELDEN)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 9, as though fully set forth herein.

10. On or about March 6, 2020, the Defendant had a duty to operate his vehicle in a **SUMM**

District Court

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: A-20-821308-C

DEPT NO.: 23

VS.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN, individually; CATERPILLAR, INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20, inclusive,

Defendants.

SUMMONS TO RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
 - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
 - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint

Submitted by:

/s/ Garnet E. Beal

GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12693 DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW 6830 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89118 O: (702) 800-6000 Attorneys for Plaintiff STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

10/5/2020

Date

Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue

Las Vegas, NV 89155

Robyn Rodriguez

Case Number: A-20-821308-C

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

COMP GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12693 DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW 6671 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89119 O: (702) 800-6000 F: (702) 224-2114 Attorneys for Plaintiff Electronically Filed
9/16/2020 2:37 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO: A-20-821308-C
Department 23

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ARLA BREE SMITH, individually,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: DEPT NO.:

vs.

RICHARD DANIEL WHELDEN, individually; CATERPILLAR, INC.; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-20, inclusive,

COMPLAINT

Defendants.

Plaintiff Arla Bree Smith, by and through her counsel, GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. of the DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW, and for her Complaint against the Defendants, and each of them alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Arla Bree Smith ("Plaintiff") is and was a resident of Clark County, State of Nevada.
- 2. Upon information and belief at all times relevant herein Defendant Richard Daniel Whelden ("Defendant") is and was a resident of the State of Arizona.
- 3. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant CATERPILLAR, INC. ("CATERPILLAR") is and was at all times relevant herein, a foreign corporation authorized to conduct business and doing business in the State of Nevada.

Page 1 of 6

Case Number: A-20-821308-C

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	4.	At a	III ti	mes relevant t	o these	procee	eding	gs, Defer	ndant	was a	n au	utho	rized ag	en
and/o	r emplo	oyee	of	CATERPILLAR	acting	within	the	course	and	scope	of	his	agency	0
employ	yment.													

- The true names and capacities of Defendants named herein as DOES I through X, 5. and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants so designated herein is responsible in some manner for the events and occurrences referred to herein alleged, and Plaintiff will request leave of Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of DOES I through X, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through X, when the same have been ascertained and to join such defendants in this action.
- 6. On or about March 6, 2020, Defendant was the operator of a Chevrolet truck and was in the course and scope of his employment with CATERPILLAR.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, CATERPILLAR is and was the owner of the vehicle operated by Defendant. Defendant operated said vehicle with the full knowledge, permission and consent of CATERPILLAR.
- 8. On or about March 6, 2020, Plaintiff was operating her 2013 Honda Fit bearing Nevada license plate PF7184 southbound on Las Vegas Boulevard in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.
- 9. As Plaintiff's vehicle stopped, Defendant, who was traveling immediately behind Plaintiff, failed to stop for traffic, failed to use due care and collided with the rear of Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing injuries and damages to Plaintiff.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE-DEFENDANT WHELDEN)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 9, as though fully set forth herein.

10. On or about March 6, 2020, the Defendant had a duty to operate his vehicle in a careful and prudent manner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Defendant breached this duty when he operated his vehicle in a negligent, careless 11. and reckless manner when he failed to pay full attention to driving, failed to use due care and rear-ended Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff.
- 12. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 13. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 14. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she was otherwise suited.
- 15. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has been required to and did lose time from her employment, continues to and shall continue to be limited in each of her activities and occupations which have caused and shall continue to cause Plaintiff's loss of earnings and earning capacity to Plaintiff's damage in a presently unascertainable amount. In this regard, Plaintiff asks leave of this Court to insert said amount when the same shall be fully ascertained.
- 16. Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and, therefore, entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

26

27

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE PER SE-DEFENDANT WHELDEN)

Plaintiffs repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16, as fully set forth herein.

- 17. Defendant had a duty to operate his vehicle in accordance with the traffic laws of the State of Nevada.
- 18. Defendant violated the laws of the State of Nevada by operating his vehicle in a negligent, careless and reckless manner, by failing to pay full attention to driving and thus, rearended Plaintiff's vehicle, thereby causing damages and injuries to Plaintiff. Thus, Defendant is negligent per se.
- 19. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 20. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 21. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she was otherwise suited.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (NEGLIGENCE ENTRUSTMENT-DEFENDANT CATERPILLAR)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21, as fully set forth herein.

22. CATERPILLAR entrusted said vehicle to Defendant when they allowed him to drive said vehicle.

- 23. CATERPILLAR knew or should have known that the Defendant lacked the skill and necessary training in operating a motor vehicle entrusted to her. As such, CATERPILLAR knew or should have known of the significant hazards arising from the operating of said motor vehicle on public streets.
- 24. CATERPILLAR knew or should have known that the entrustment of said vehicle to Defendant would inflict damages to person and property using public streets, including Plaintiff.
- 25. CATERPILLAR had a duty to only trust said vehicle to qualified and competent drivers.
 - 26. CATERPILLAR breached this duty when they entrusted the vehicle to Defendant.
- 27. By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- 28. By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 29. Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she was otherwise suited.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR-DEFENDANT CATERPILLAR)

Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29, as if fully set forth herein.

- 30. CATERPILLAR entrusted said truck to Defendant in order to generate income and profits.
 - 31. Defendant is and was an employee of CATERPILLAR and under the supervision and

Page 5 of 6

14

12

13

16

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

control of CATERPILLAR at the time of the accident described herein.

- At all times relevant herein, Defendant was acting within the scope and course of 32. his employment with CATERPILLAR at the time of the accident described herein.
- By reason of the premises and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff 33. sustained injuries to her head, neck, back, bodily limbs, organs, and systems all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, all to her general damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000.
- By reasons of the premises and as a direct and proximate result of the 34. aforementioned, Plaintiff was required to and did receive medical and other treatment for her | injuries received in an expense all to her damage in a sum in excess of \$15,000. Said services, care, and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, at a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiff will amend her Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
 - Prior to the injuries complained herein, Plaintiff was an able-bodied person readily 35. and gainfully employed and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which she were otherwise suited.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Arla Smith prays for judgment on all claims for relief as follows:

- General damages in excess of \$15,000.00.
- Special damages in excess of \$15,000.00. 2.
- Lost wages in an amount yet to be determined. 3.
- Costs of suit incurred including reasonable attorneys' fees. 4.
- For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED THIS 16th day of September, 2020.

DIMOPOULOS INJURY LAW

/s/ Garnet E. Beal

GARNET E. BEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12693 6830 South Las Vegas Boulevard, #275 Las Vegas, NV 89119